



Missouri University of Science and Technology
Scholars' Mine

International Specialty Conference on Cold-
Formed Steel Structures

(1988) - 9th International Specialty Conference
on Cold-Formed Steel Structures

Nov 8th, 12:00 AM

Residual Stresses in Cold-formed Steel Members

C. C. Weng

Teoman Peköz

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/isccss>

 Part of the [Structural Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Weng, C. C. and Peköz, Teoman, "Residual Stresses in Cold-formed Steel Members" (1988). *International Specialty Conference on Cold-Formed Steel Structures*. 9.

<https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/isccss/9iccfss-session1/9iccfss-session1/9>

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Specialty Conference on Cold-Formed Steel Structures by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

RESIDUAL STRESSES IN COLD-FORMED STEEL MEMBERS

By C. C. Weng (1), and Teoman Pekoz (2)

ABSTRACT: An extensive experimental investigation of the residual stresses in cold-formed steel members is presented. The electrical discharge machining (EDM) technique was used to cut coupons for residual stress measurement. As compared to the conventional saw-cutting method, the EDM technique greatly reduces the external disturbance during the machining of a thin-walled section caused by heating, clamping and vibration. The experimental results provide a further understanding of the magnitude and distribution of the residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections, which are found to be quite different from the residual stresses in hot-rolled steel shapes. Based on the experimental findings, an idealized distribution pattern of the residual stresses in a cold-formed channel section is outlined. Finally, the yielding propagation in an axially compressed cold-formed steel section is described, and an equation for predicting the extent of yielding is derived.

INTRODUCTION

Residual stress plays an important role in the design of steel columns. In structural steel, residual stresses may be due to several causes which include the following: (1) Uneven cooling of shape after hot-rolling; and, (2) Fabrication operations such as cold-bending, welding, flame-cutting and punching, etc.

For a hot-rolled wide-flange section, the residual stresses are mainly due to uneven cooling after hot-rolling. The researches conducted at Lehigh University (1,2,3) found that the magnitude of the maximum residual stress in hot-rolled shapes of moderate strength steels is approximately equal to 30% of the yield stress of the material and the residual stresses are assumed to be uniformly distributed through the plate thickness. An idealized typical residual stress distribution pattern is shown in Fig. 1.

(1) Associate Professor, National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan, Republic of China, (Formerly Research Assistant, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

(2) Professor of Structural Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

For a cold-formed steel section, the residual stresses are mainly caused by the cold-bending effect during the forming process. Due to the difference in the manufacturing process between this two groups of sections, the residual stresses in a cold-formed section may be quite different from those in a hot-rolled shape.

It is noted that the design formulas for flexural buckling strength of cold-formed steel columns used in the AISI (American Iron and Steel Institute) Specification (4) are based on the CRC (Column Research Council) column curve (5) which was originally developed for hot-rolled steel shapes. The CRC column curve was based on the residual stresses measured in hot-rolled steel shapes. Therefore, it is felt that the direct use of the CRC column curve for the design of cold-formed steel columns may not be appropriate due to the difference of the residual stresses between these two groups of columns.

The objective of this study is to investigate experimentally the magnitude and distribution of the residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections such that a better understanding of the behavior of the residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections can be achieved.

SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The cross-section dimensions and material properties of all sections tested in this investigation are given in Table 1 where a, b and c are the overall width of the web, flange and lip of the channel section, respectively. The thickness of the specimens ranged from 0.064 to 0.121 inches including 11, 12, 13, 14, and 16 gage steels. These specimens were obtained from four different manufacturers and included both roll-formed and press-braked sections.

The material properties of the specimens were determined according to the procedures of the standard tension tests recommended by the ASTM (6). The yield stress of the material and the percentage of elongation in a 2" gage length were determined from the uniaxial tensile coupon tests. Coupons were cut from the flat portions of the test specimens, and at least three coupons were tested for each type of section to obtain an average value. For gradual yielding material, the yield stress was determined by the 0.2% offset method.

CONVENTIONAL SAW-CUTTING METHOD

The use of the saw-cutting (sectioning) method for determining residual stresses was first reported by Kalakoutsky (7) in 1888. He slitted a bar into long strips and measured their changes in length, and the stresses locked in the bar were then determined. Since the 1950's, the sectioning method has been used extensively for measuring residual stresses in wide-flange shapes, welded box sections and circular tubes. Results

of the measured residual stresses from these sections were reported by Huber and Beedle (2). Beer and Tall(8), Ross and Chen(9), etc. This method was also used to measure residual stresses in cold-formed sections by Ingvarsson (10) and Dat (11). Weng (12) used this method to measure residual stresses in cold-bent thick steel plates.

At the beginning of this investigation, the conventional saw-cutting method was used to measure the residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections. In the saw-cutting process, the specimen is clamped with a vice, and cutting is performed by using a slitting saw or band saw with fluid coolant supplied. The residual strains released after each cut are recorded. Based on the measured strains, the residual stresses can be determined.

Although the saw-cutting technique can be used for measuring residual stresses in thin-walled sections, it was found that this method is very tedious and has many drawbacks. Based on several tests conducted by the authors, the following problems were observed:

- (1) Excessive vibrations may occur during the saw-cutting process due to the thinness of the thin-walled sections. The vibrations may disturb the strain gage readings, and sometimes cause a misalignment of the cutting path.
- (2) In order to hold the specimen in a tight, steady position for sectioning, it is necessary to apply a clamping force on the specimen. However, an excessive clamping force may result in a permanent deformation on the thin-walled section. To avoid the possible over-squeezing effect, requires great care in each step of the sectioning process.

The electric discharge machining (EDM) practically eliminates the above problems. This technique has been used since 1950's for high precision machining of metals. The principle and applications of this method were discussed by Springborn (13), Kalpakjian (14) and Armarego and Brown (15). In addition to its use for high precision machining, the authors found that the EDM technique can be used for measuring residual stresses in the thin-walled steel sections. This is because the external disturbance caused by the EDM process is found to be much smaller than that caused by the conventional saw-cutting technique.

METHOD OF ELECTRIC DISCHARGE MACHINING (EDM)

Principle and Advantages of EDM

The electric discharge machining is sometimes known as electro-erosion machining. It is based on the principle of erosion of metals by electric discharges. In the EDM process, as illustrated in Fig. 2, material is removed by a series of discrete electrical discharges that occur in the machining gap between the electrode (cutting tool) and the workpiece (test

specimen). The dielectric fluid creates a path for the discharge as the fluid becomes ionized between the two closest points. The initiation of the discharge occurs when sufficient amount of voltage is applied across the machining gap to cause the dielectric fluid to ionize and current to start to flow.

This process is applicable to all materials which are good conductors of electricity. The cutting tool is usually made of brass due to its excellent electric conductivity. The dielectric fluid, usually a hydrocarbon based liquid, has the additional functions of providing a cooling medium and carrying away debris produced by the machining process. The electric discharge can be repeated rapidly, and each time a minute amount of the workpiece material is removed.

As shown in Fig. 2, the cutting tool and the specimen do not contact during the sectioning process, thus there is no mechanical cutting effect as from machining by a saw blade. In addition, the specimen is totally immersed in the dielectric fluid which also serves as a coolant, thus the heat problem due to sectioning is minimized. Furthermore, when applying the EDM technique to measure residual stresses in a thin-walled section, the specimen need not be held very tight by the vice during sectioning. Therefore, the problem of over-squeezing the thin section can be easily avoided.

For comparison, both the EDM technique and the saw-cutting method were used to measure the residual stresses in two specimens which were taken from the same piece of cold-formed channel section. The result of the measured residual strains are shown in Table 2. It is seen that the differences are quite small. Therefore, instead of the conventional saw-cutting method, the EDM technique is used in this investigation due to the advantages stated above.

Measurement of Residual Stresses by EDM

For this investigation, the sectioning of the specimens was performed by using a knee-type, quill head EDM machine manufactured by the Ex-Cell-O Corporation. The EA type electric resistance strain gages and the M-bond 200 adhesive made by Measurements Group Inc. were used.

The test specimen was saw-cut from the columns provided by the manufacturers, then electric resistance strain gages were mounted on the inner and outer surfaces of the specimen along the longitudinal direction. Figure 3 shows a typical arrangement of strain gages on a channel section. The dashed lines shown in the figure indicates the sequence of sectioning. The coupons were approximately 0.5 inches wide. To protect strain gages from damage, a thick layer of protection coating, M-Coat A, was applied on the strain gages before machining. The strain gage wires were connected before the sectioning and remained connected throughout the entire procedure.

The residual stresses were measured on half of each section due to the symmetry of the channel section. Since the inner

surfaces of the lip and the adjacent corner are difficult to reach, no strain gages could be applied on these areas. The sectioning of each specimen was done in a single day to minimize any time-drifting of the strain gage readings. Readings of all gages were taken twice initially and after each cut by using a strain indicator and a switch and balance unit.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figures 4 through 8 show the results of the measured surface residual strains along the longitudinal direction of the test specimens. The measurements were performed on half of the singly symmetric channel sections. It is noted that a measured negative residual strain corresponds to a tension residual stress in the specimen, and a measured positive residual strain corresponds to a compression residual stress in the specimen. Based on the experimental findings, the following observations can be made:

- (1) Compression residual stresses (positive residual strains) were found on the inside surface of the sections, and tension residual stresses (negative residual strains) on the outside surface. This observation was made consistently in all specimens. The cause of this phenomena needs further study.
- (2) The magnitudes of the surface residual stresses of the section were found to be approximately between 25 to 70% of the yield stress of the material.
- (3) The magnitudes of the residual stresses on the flat portions of the section were approximately uniform along the perimeter of the section.
- (4) The magnitudes of the residual stresses on the corner regions were found to be higher than those on the flat portions. The differences ranged approximately from 15 to 30% of the yield stress of the material.
- (5) At the same location, the magnitudes of the residual stresses on the inside and outside surfaces of the flat portions of the section were found to be quite close.
- (6) The general shape of the distribution of residual stress followed a consistent pattern for all sections.

As mentioned above in item (4), higher residual stresses were found in the corner regions rather than in the flat portions. This is reasonable since more cold work was introduced in the corners during the manufacturing process. Experimentally, it is observed that both the magnitudes of the residual stresses and yield stresses are higher in the corner regions. The increase of the yield stress at corners due to strain-hardening was investigated extensively by Karren (16) and Dat (11). It was found that the increase of yield stress and residual stress at the corners are quite close. This observation indicates that the increase of residual stress at the corner may be negated by the

increase of yield stress for certain types of structural behavior.

It is also observed that for both the roll-formed 13 and 14 gage sections, R13 and R14, the magnitude of the residual strains on the webs is higher than those on the flanges, as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). This may be due to the effect of the cold work applied on the web during the roll-forming process which caused two indented lines on the web of the section.

For a better qualitative understanding of the residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections, a channel section was cut into several long strips along the longitudinal direction of the section. As shown in Figs. 9(a) and (b), the long strips did not remain straight but bent into a single curvature. This phenomenon indicated that the cutting process released bending stresses which were locked in the cold-formed section. Inspection of Figs. 9(a) and (b) shows that the residual stresses are tensile on the outside and compressive on the inside. Furthermore, based on these experimental observations, it would be reasonable to assume that the residual stresses are linearly distributed through the thickness of the plate element.

However, it is noted that the nature of residual stresses in a cold-formed section is very complex due to the details of the actual manufacturing process. The measurement of the residual stress distribution through the plate thickness of a thin-walled section was virtually impossible in the present investigation due to the limitation of the available measuring techniques. Intuitively, out of numerous possible distribution pattern of residual stress through plate thickness, two types appear to be significant. These include a linear and a bilinear types of residual stress distribution.

Based on the above discussion, the magnitude and distribution pattern of the residual stresses in a cold-formed steel channel section can be idealized as follows:

- (1) There are tension residual stresses on the outside surface of the channel section, and compression residual stresses on the inside surface.
- (2) The magnitudes of the maximum tension and compression residual stresses are assumed to be the same and are conservatively taken as 50% of the yield stress of the material.
- (3) Residual stresses are assumed to be varied linearly through the plate thickness.
- (4) The variations of residual stresses at the corner regions are negligible, if the increase of the yield stress at the corners is ignored. The residual stresses are assumed to be uniformly distributed along the perimeter of the section.

YIELDING PROPAGATION AND EXTENT OF YIELDING

An axially compressed cold-formed steel section starts to

yield when the sum of the applied stress and the compression residual stress reaches the yield stress of the material.

From the idealized residual stress distribution pattern described above, the yielding of the section starts from the inside surface at the peak of the zone of the compression residual stress, and propagates as the load increases. As a result of the yielding propagation, the section becomes partially elastic and partially plastic with one layer of elastic zone and one layer of plastic zone. This is illustrated in Fig. 10. It is seen that the elastic part of the plate is decreased from the original thickness, t , to an elastic thickness, t_e . The extent of the yielding depends on the level of the applied stress and the magnitude of the residual stress.

Since the residual stresses are assumed to be uniformly distributed along the perimeter of the section, the extent of yielding of an axially compressed channel section can be determined easily. As shown in Fig. 10, a unit length of the perimeter of the channel section is considered for the derivation of an equation for the elastic thickness, t_e . If the load applied on the dashed area is P , the average stress on this area is

$$\sigma_A = P / t \quad (1)$$

When the sum of the applied stress and the compression residual stress is higher than the yield stress of the material, the plate becomes partially elastic and partially plastic, as detailed in Fig. 11. From this figure, the load acting on the unit length of the section, P , is found to be

$$P = \sigma_Y (t - t_e) + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_Y}{2} t_e \quad (2)$$

where t_e and σ_o are unknowns to be determined. From the similar triangles, $\triangle ABC \sim \triangle abc$, as shown in Fig. 11, the following relationship is found

$$\frac{\overline{AC}}{\overline{ac}} = \frac{\overline{AB}}{\overline{ab}} \quad (3)$$

This leads to

$$\frac{\sigma_Y - \sigma_o}{2 \sigma_{rs}} = \frac{t_e}{2} \quad (4)$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_o = \sigma_Y - 2 \sigma_{rs} (t_e / t) \quad (5)$$

By substituting σ_0 and t_e into Equation 2, the load acting on the unit length of the section, P , can be expressed in terms of the elastic thickness, t_e , and the residual stress, σ_{rs} , as follows:

$$P = \sigma_y t - 2 \sigma_{rs} (t_e^2 / t) \quad (6)$$

Equations 1 and 6 can be solved simultaneously for the elastic thickness t_e while eliminating the load P . This gives

$$t_e = t [(\sigma_y - \sigma_A) / \sigma_{rs}]^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

From the experiments, it was observed that the magnitudes of the measured residual stresses vary approximately from 25 to 70% of the yield stress of the material. A value of $0.5 \sigma_y$ is used such that Equation 7 can be further simplified. This leads to

$$t_e = t \{2 [1 - (\sigma_A / \sigma_y)]\}^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

This equation can be used to calculate tangent modulus load for cold-formed steel columns.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a detailed description of an experimental study of residual stresses in cold-formed steel sections. The results provide useful information for further study of the effect of residual stresses on the strength of cold-formed steel members. The EDM method is found to be a suitable and convenient for measuring residual stresses in thin-walled sections.

From the experimental findings, it is apparent that the residual stresses in cold-formed sections are quite different from those in hot-rolled shapes. The CRC column curve used in the AISI Specification (18) for cold-formed steel columns was derived for hot-rolled sections. The validity of this use is the subject of another paper (19) by the authors.

This study provides a means for predicting the extent of the yielding propagation of an axially compressed cold-formed section. It is shown by the authors (19) that this type of yielding propagation may weaken not only the overall column buckling strength but also the local buckling strength of the component plate elements of the section. This effect results in a further reduction of the overall buckling strength of the column.

Though the residual stress magnitudes and patterns were generally consistent, the details of the creation of these residual stresses need further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The sponsorship of the American Iron and Steel Institute is gratefully acknowledged. The authors would also like to thank Dr. S. J. Errera and the AISI Specification Advisory Committee for their support throughout the project.

APPENDIX I.---REFERENCES

1. Yang, C. H., Beedle, L. S. and Johnston, B. G., "Residual Stress and the Yield Strength of Steel Beams," Welding Journal, Vol. 31, No.4, April 1952.
2. Huber, H.W. and Beedle, L. S., "Residual Stress and the Compressive Strength of Steel," Welding Journal, Dec. 1954.
3. Beedle, L. S. and Tall L., "Basic Column Strength," Journal of the Structural Div., ASCE, Vol. 86, No. ST7, 1960.
4. AISI, American Iron and Steel Institute, "Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members," Washington, D.C., 1980.
5. Johnston, B. G., Guide to Stability Design Criteria for Metal Structures, 3rd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1976
6. ASTM, American Society for Testing and Material, "Standard Methods of tension Testing of Metallic Materials," ASTM Designation: E8-69, 1975.
7. Tebedge, N., Alpsten, G. A. and Tall, L., "Residual Stress Measurement by the Sectioning Method," Experimental Mech. Feb. 1973.
8. Beer, G. and Tall, L., 'The Strength of Heavy Welded Box Columns," Fritz Eng. Lab Report, No. 337.27, Lehigh University, Dec. 1970.
9. Ross, D. A. and Chen, W. F., "Residual Stress Measurement in Fabricated Tubular Steel Columns," Fritz Engr. Lab. Report 393.4, Lehigh University, July 1975.
10. Ingvarsson, L., "Cold-Forming Residual Stresses," Bulletin No. 121, Dept. of Building Statics and Structural Engr., The Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, 1977
11. Dat, D. T., "The Strength of Cold-Formed Steel Columns," Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 1980
12. Weng, C. C., "Cold-Bending of Thick Steel Plates at Low R/t Ratios," M.S. Thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 1984.
13. Springborn, P. K., "Non-Traditional Machining Processes," American Society of Tool and Manufacturing Engineers, Dearborn, Michigan, 1967.
14. Kalpakjian, S., "Mechanical Processing of Material," D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1967.
15. Armarego, E. J. A. and Brown, R. H., "The Machining of Metals," Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1969. .
16. Karren, K. W., "Corner Properties of Cold-Formed Steel

- Shapes," Journal of the Structural Div., ASCE, Vol. 92, No. ST1, 1967.
17. Weng, C. C., "AISI Project No. 1201-469, Flexural Buckling of Cold-Formed Steel Columns," Progress Report, May 1, 1985, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 1985.
 18. AISI, American Iron and Steel Institute, "Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members," Washington, D.C., 1986.
 19. Weng, C. C. and Pekoz, T, "Effect of Residual Stresses on Cold-Formed Steel Column Strength" A Companion Paper Submitted to the ASCE Journal of the Structural Division, 1988.

APPENDIX II.---NOTATIONS

The following symbols are used in this paper:

σ_y = Yield stress
 F_u = Tensile strength
 A = Gross section area
 t = Plate thickness
 t_e = Plate thickness of the elastic portion
 P = Applied load
 σ_{rs} = Residual stress
 σ_A = Average stress
 $\mu\epsilon$ = Micro strain

TABLE 1. ---CROSS-SECTION DIMENSIONS AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES

COLUMNS	t (in)	A (in)	a (in)	b (in)	c (in)	r (in)	Fy (ksi)	Fu (ksi)	2" Elong (%)
RFC13	0.096	0.674	3.067	1.629	0.715	0.156	51.85	62.21	33
RFC14	0.075	0.533	2.998	1.756	0.694	0.219	55.09	76.55	30
R13	0.086	0.573	3.010	1.635	0.605	0.219	50.15	70.63	27
R14	0.075	0.511	3.015	1.658	0.610	0.219	49.73	69.32	30
PBC14	0.071	0.493	3.001	1.632	0.605	0.156	36.30	50.07	33
P11(b)	0.121	1.328	5.037	2.491	0.878	0.125	33.60	51.91	34
P16(b)	0.064	0.395	2.645	1.377	0.623	0.094	32.06	45.09	32
P3300	0.105	0.397	1.625	0.875	0.375	0.156	55.89	65.04	23
P4100	0.075	0.285	1.625	0.813	0.375	0.156	51.65	59.81	25
DC12	0.105	1.110	1.625	1.625	0.375	0.156	44.31	53.12	36
DC14	0.075	0.610	1.250	1.250	0.281	0.156	44.95	59.20	35

Note: "RFC" and "R" both stand for "Roll-Formed Channel"

"PBC" and "p" both stand for "Press-Braked Channel"

"DC" stands for "Double Channel Section"

11, 12, 13, 14, 16 are gage numbers of steel.

DC12 and DC14 are Roll-formed 12 and 14 gage double channels

P3300 and P4100 are Roll-formed 12 and 14 gage channel sections

Table 2.--Measured Residual Strains: EDM vs. Saw-Cutting

Strain Gage	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
EDM	-832	-848	-1244	-458	-902	-356
Saw-Cutting	-855	-891	-1191	-446	-1014	-369
Ratio	0.97	0.95	1.05	1.03	0.89	0.96

Note:

Strain gage locations are shown in Fig. 10.

The unit of residual strain: micro-strain (10E-6).

Specimens were from the same piece of the R14 section.




FIG. 1. Idealized residual stress distribution in a hot-rolled steel shape




FIG. 2. A transverse cut by EDM




FIG. 3. EDM sectioning - Cut sequences are indicated by dashed lines and numbers




FIG. 4. Measured Residual Strains: Sections P11 and P16




FIG. 5. Measured Residual Strains: Sections R14 and R13




FIG. 6. Measured Residual Strains: Sections P4100 and P3300




FIG. 7. Measured Residual Strains: Sections DC12 and DC14






FIG. 8. Measured Residual Strains: Sections PBC14, RFC13 and RFC14



Side view

(a)



Top view

(b)

FIG. 9. Effect of residual stress on a saw cut channel section




FIG. 10 Residual stress distribution, unit width considered in the derivation of the elastic thickness




FIG. 11 Partial yielding due to residual stress

